

## Physics 652: Assignment 2

(to be submitted by Tuesday, February 18, 2024)

I invite you to attempt Assignment 2 and to submit all your work for Questions 1–3. Please turn in a paper copy in class; and email to [kbeach@olemiss.edu](mailto:kbeach@olemiss.edu) a single Wolfram Notebook, sent as an attachment, that contains the Mathematica code for Questions 1(b), 2(d), 3(a), and whichever other questions you used machine assistance for. Use the naming convention `Phys652-A2-webid.nb`, and be sure to include `Phys652-Spring2025-webid Assignment 2 Submission` on the subject line.

1. A function  $F(x)$  has slope  $u = dF/dx$ . The [Legendre transformation](#) gives us a new function  $G(u) = F - ux$  that is an explicit function of the slope.

- (a) Explain what  $f$  is in the expression  $G(u) = F(f^{-1}(u)) - uf^{-1}(u)$ .  
 (b) Use *Mathematica* to make a nice plot of the function  $F(x) = -x^3 + x^2 + 2x$  between  $-1$  and  $2$ . Then use this code snippet

---

```
F[x_] = -x^3 + x^2 + 2 x
f = F'
Solve[f[x] == u, x]
{sol1, sol2} = Solve[f[x] == u, x]
G1[u_] = Simplify[F[x /. sol1] - u (x /. sol1)]
G2[u_] = Simplify[F[x /. sol2] - u (x /. sol2)]
Plot[{G1[u], G2[u]}, {u, -2, 7/3}]
```

---

to construct and plot functions of the form  $G(u) = F(x) - ux$ . Why are there two? Explain what's going on in the plot of  $G(u)$  versus  $u$  and what the relation is to the plot you made of  $F(x)$  versus  $x$ .

2. Consider a system in coordinates  $q$  and  $\dot{q}$ , described by a Lagrangian

$$L(q, \dot{q}) = \frac{m}{2!} \dot{q}^2 + \frac{\kappa}{4!} \dot{q}^4 - \frac{m\omega_0^2}{2!} q^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4!} q^4.$$

- (a) Derive the dynamical equations prescribed by Euler-Lagrange. You should be able to show that

$$\ddot{q} = -\omega_0^2 q \left( \frac{1 + (\lambda/6m\omega_0^2)q^2}{1 + (\kappa/2m)\dot{q}^2} \right).$$

- (b) Apply the Ansatz  $q(t) = A \cos \omega_0 t + \delta q(t)$ . Derive the leading order expression for  $\delta \ddot{q}$ . [Hint: When  $\kappa = \lambda = 0$ , the Lagrangian is that of the simple harmonic oscillator, and  $A \cos \omega_0 t$  is a solution. Imagine that  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  are adiabatically turned on; this results in a corresponding correction  $\delta q(t)$ , which we can think of as being  $O(\kappa, \lambda)$ . It's safe to assume that  $\delta q(t)$  is smooth, so that  $\delta \dot{q}$ ,  $\delta \ddot{q}$ , etc. are all bounded. You can confirm this after the fact.]

- (c) Compute the conjugate momentum  $p \equiv \partial L / \partial \dot{q}$ .

- (d) The corresponding Hamiltonian is

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{\kappa p^4}{24m^4} + \frac{\kappa^2 p^6}{72m^7} - \frac{\kappa p^8}{144m^{10}} + O(p^{10}) + \frac{m\omega_0^2 q^2}{2} + \frac{\lambda q^4}{24}.$$

Verify by hand the terms up to  $O(p^4, q^4)$ . The computer can do it for you to arbitrary order:

---

```
L[qq_, q_] = (m/2!)qq^2 + (\[Kappa]/4!)qq^4 - (m\[Omega]^2/2!)q^2
- (\[Lambda]/4!)q^4
pdef = D[L[qq, q], qq]
{sol1, sol2, sol3} = Solve[p == pdef, qq]
qq1=qq/.sol1
Assuming[{m > 0, \[Kappa] > 0}, Series[qq /. sol1, {p, 0, 9}]]
Map[Simplify, Assuming[{m > 0, \[Kappa] > 0}, Series[p qq1 - L[qq1, q], {p, 0, 9}]]]
```

---

3. The function  $x(t)$  is a solution to the third-order, constant-coefficient differential equation  $\ddot{x} - 2\dot{x} + x = 0$ .

(a) Solve it with Mathematica.

---

```
DSolve[D[x[t], {t, 3}] - 2 D[x[t], {t, 2}] + D[x[t], t] == 0, x[t], t]
```

---

(b) Here is a solution strategy that you can carry out by hand. To start, integrate the differential equation once to arrive at

$$\ddot{x} - 2\dot{x} + x = \text{constant} \equiv c_0.$$

Then substitute  $x = c_0 + t^a e^{zt}$  into  $\ddot{x} - 2\dot{x} + x - c_0 = 0$ . Show that

$$0 = \{[(a + zt)^2 - a] - 2(a + zt)t + t^2\}t^{a-2}e^{zt}.$$

(c) Consider appropriate values of  $a$  and  $z$ . Think about which values will solve the equation in part (b) and how many independent solutions you need. Write an expression for the most general  $x(t)$ .

(d) Prove that the difference  $x^{(n+1)}(0) - x^{(n)}(0)$  is independent of  $n$  and that  $ex^{(n+1)}(0) = x^{(n)}(1) \forall n$ .