

## Physics 651: Assignment 1

(to be submitted by Thursday, August 31, 2023)

1. You can think of the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_n$  as the ring of integers  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$ , defined modulo  $n$ .

(a) Generate lists of the elements in  $\mathbb{F}_5$  in *Mathematica* using `Range` and `Table`.

---

```
Range[0, 4]
Table[i, {i, 0, 4}]
```

---

Note that pressing `enter` or `return` on your keyboard will produce just a bare line-feed/carriage-return. To get *Mathematica* to interpret and execute a line of code, you will have to type `shift + return`. Next, generate the set  $A = \{1/(1 + k^2) : k \in \mathbb{F}_5\}$ . Here are four ways to do so:

---

```
A = 1/(1 + Range[0, 4]^2)
A = Map[Function[1/(1 + #^2)], Range[0, 4]]
A = Map[1/(1 + #^2) &, Range[0, 4]]
A = Array[1/(1 + (# - 1)^2) &, 5]
```

---

In the second and third lines above, `Map` applies the anonymous function  $k \rightarrow 1/(1 + k^2)$ —expressed in code as `1/(1+#^2)` and wrapped in a `Function` call or terminated with the `&` symbol—to each element of the list.

Write your own code to compute  $A$ , based on a call to `Table`.

(b) Determine the addition and multiplication tables for  $\mathbb{F}_3$ . Compute all the elements by hand.

(c) Verify that your calculation agrees with the two tables produced algorithmically by the following *Mathematica* code:

---

```
Table[Table[Mod[i+j, 3], {i, 0, 2}], {j, 0, 2}] // MatrixForm
Table[Table[Mod[i*j, 3], {i, 0, 2}], {j, 0, 2}] // MatrixForm
```

---

As a convenience, the `Table` function supports a simplified syntax for nested tables.

---

```
Table[Mod[i+j, 3], {i, 0, 2}, {j, 0, 2}] // MatrixForm
Table[Mod[i*j, 3], {i, 0, 2}, {j, 0, 2}] // MatrixForm
```

---

Also try this with the `// MatrixForm` specification removed, which lets you see the unformatted result. Observe that the output is a nested list of lists. This is the data structure that *Mathematica* uses for matrices and multidimensional arrays.

Alternatively, one can map an anonymous function that handles the modulo arithmetic onto the outer product (`Outer`) of the lists produced by `Range`.

---

```
Outer[Function[Mod[#1+#2, 3]], Range[0, 2], Range[0, 2]] // MatrixForm
Outer[Mod[#1*#2, 3] &, Range[0, 2], Range[0, 2]] // MatrixForm
```

---

(d) Modify the codes from question 1c so that they produce the formatted addition and multiplication tables of  $\mathbb{F}_4$ .

(e) Consider a triply nested `Table` structure representing all possible sums of three numbers in  $\mathbb{F}_5$ . We can then confirm that the set of all possible values covers all of  $\mathbb{F}_5$ .

---

```
T = Table[Mod[i+j+k, 5], {i, 0, 4}, {j, 0, 4}, {k, 0, 4}]
Flatten[T]
Union[Flatten[T]]
DeleteDuplicates[Flatten[T]] (* This is equivalent to the previous line *)
Range[0,4] == %
```

---

Explain what is going on in the last four lines of this code snippet. What does `Flatten` do? What purpose do `Union` and `DeleteDuplicates` serve? What is the meaning of the `%` symbol?

Finally, rewrite the code using `Outer` and `Range`.

- (f) Create a quadruply nested list structure representing all possible *products* of four numbers in  $\mathbb{F}_{10}$ . Verify that the set of values covers  $\mathbb{F}_{10}$ .

2. *Mathematica* offers a variety of input methods for [special characters and symbols](#). Most characters have a full name (`\[FullName]`) and an associated alias (`[esc]alias[esc]`) for faster input. For example,  $\infty$ ,  $\pi$ , and  $e$  are produced by `\[Infinity]`, `\[Pi]`, and `\[ExponentialE]` but also by `[esc]inf[esc]`, `[esc]pi[esc]`, and `[esc]ee[esc]`. Some characters also have a standalone name that doesn't require an escape sequence: `Infinity` and `E` can be used unadorned. Run the following code to establish membership of various numbers and expressions in the integers ( $\mathbb{Z}$ ), reals ( $\mathbb{R}$ ), and rationals ( $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

---

```
Element[-256, Integers]
Element[11/32, Integers]
Element[\[Infinity], Reals]
0 [esc]el[esc] NonNegativeReals
Element[\[Pi], Reals]
[esc]ee[esc] [esc]el[esc] Rationals
Element[-2/3, Rationals]
2/3 \[Element] Reals \[And] 2/3 \[Element] Rationals
Element[52, Reals] [esc]and[esc] Element[52, Integers]
(* The Sinc function has a well-defined real-valued limit at zero. *)
Element[Limit[Sin[x]/x, x -> 0], Reals]
(* Every individual element of this summation is rational, but the total sum is real. *)
Apply[And, Table[1/n^2 \[Element] Rationals, {n, 1, 100}]]
Sum[1/n^2, {n, 1, Infinity}] [esc]el[esc] Reals
```

---

As a *Mathematica* coding exercise, show that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n/n! = 1/e$  is real but that the first 101 terms of the series are individually rational.

3. *Mathematica* supports complex numbers via an imaginary  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ , represented by `\[ImaginaryI]`, `[esc]ii[esc]`, or just `I`. Hence,  $1 + 2I$  and  $2 - 3I$  are valid numbers in  $\mathbb{C}$  ([Complexes](#)). Multiplication is inferred, so products can be expressed as either `a[space]b` or `a*b`. In the following code, note the difference between the *assignment* operator (`=`) and the *test-for-equality* operator (`==`). Further observe that *logical and* has both an operator (`&&`) and function form (`And`). Take care to distinguish the semantics of a `Symbol` and `String`. The latter supports concatenation (via the function `StringJoin` or operator `<>`).

---

```
(* What follows are four ways to define the same complex number *)
a1 = Complex[1,2]
a2 = 1+2\[ImaginaryI]
a3 = 1+2[esc]ii[esc]
a = 1+2I
(* Here we verify that they are equal*)
EqualTo[a1][a]
a1 == a && a2 == a
a1 == a [esc]and[esc] a2 == a [esc]and[esc] a3 == a
Apply[And, Table[Symbol["a" <> ToString[i]] == a , {i, 1, 3}]]
(* The complex numbers are closed under addition, subtraction, multiplication, and
   division*)
b = 2 + 3I
z1 = a+b
z2 = a-b
z3 = a b
z4 = a/b
z1 [esc]el[esc] Complexes
```

```

z2 [esc]e1[esc] Complexes
Apply[And,Table[Symbol["z" <> ToString[i]] \[Element] Complexes , {i, 1, 4}]]
Norm[z1]
N[Norm[z1]]
Arg[z1]
N[%, 20]
Norm[z2]
Arg[z2]
For[k = 1, k < 5, ++k, zklabel = "z" <> ToString[k]; zk = Symbol[zklabel];
Print[zklabel, " = ", zk, ": ", "\nnorm(", zklabel, ") = ", Norm[zk],
", \narg(", zklabel, ") = ", Arg[zk]]]

```

---

Explicit numerical evaluation is carried out with the function `N`, which takes an optional argument that specifies the precision (the default is 8 decimal digits). The `For` loop uses a C-like *initialize–test–increment–body* syntax. `Print` outputs text and mathematical expressions to the screen; it is aware of standard string escape sequences, such as `\t` (tab) and `\n` (newline).

Write a program that defines complex numbers  $z_k = \cos(\pi k/5) + i \sin(\pi k/5)$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, 9$  and for each  $k$  verifies that  $|z_k| = 1$ ,  $\text{Arg } z_k = \pi k/5 \pmod{2\pi}$ , and  $\exp(i \text{Arg } z_k) \doteq z_k$ . Where  $\doteq$  is indicated, establish that the expressions on the left- and right-hand side agree to within 12 digits.

4. The value  $\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$  can be computed with the expression  $(1 + \text{Sqrt}[5])/2$  or directly invoked with the special named constant `GoldenRatio`.  $\phi$  is unique in that it has an infinite continued fraction representation in which all the terms are 1:

$$\phi = 1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1 + \dots}}}$$

*Mathematica* has some useful helper functions for continued fractions. `ContinuedFraction` converts a number or mathematical expression into a (truncated) list of its coefficients in the continued fraction representation. Conversely, `FromContinuedFraction` takes the finite list of coefficients and evaluates the corresponding number as a partial continued fraction. It's instructive to observe how the partial continued fractions converge to  $\phi$  as the length of the coefficient list grows. Take time to be sure that you understand the `ReplaceAll` (`/.`) trick that allows us to compute the terms explicitly.

```

ContinuedFraction[GoldenRatio, 1]
FromContinuedFraction[%]
1
ContinuedFraction[GoldenRatio, 2]
FromContinuedFraction[%]
1+1
ContinuedFraction[GoldenRatio, 3]
FromContinuedFraction[%]
1+1/(1+1)
ContinuedFraction[GoldenRatio, 4]
FromContinuedFraction[%]
1+1/(1+1/(1+1))
ContinuedFraction[GoldenRatio, 5]
FromContinuedFraction[%]
1+1/(1+1/(1+1/(1+1)))
expr = 1 + w; Do[expr = expr /. w -> 1/(1 + w); Print[N[expr /. w -> 0, 12]], 20]
ListLinePlot[Table[{k, FromContinuedFraction[ContinuedFraction[GoldenRatio, k]}], {k, 1,
10}], PlotMarkers -> Automatic, PlotRange -> {{0, 11}, {0.5, 2.5}}, Frame -> True]

```

---

Now consider the sequence of partial continued fractions,

$$\pi_1 = 3, \quad \pi_2 = 3 + \frac{1}{7}, \quad \pi_3 = 3 + \frac{1}{7 + \frac{1}{15}}, \quad \pi_4 = 3 + \frac{1}{7 + \frac{1}{15 + \frac{1}{1}}}, \quad \pi_5 = 3 + \frac{1}{7 + \frac{1}{15 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{292}}}}, \dots$$

Each  $\pi_k$  is the continued fraction that has been truncated at order  $k$ . These achieve the asymptotic value  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \pi_k = \pi$ ; the sequence approaches its limit from below. Investigate the convergence by making a table of the first 15 values ( $\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_{15}$ ). Then make a plot (with a logarithmic vertical axis, using `ListLogPlot`) of  $\pi - \pi_k$  for  $k$  ranging from 1 to 50.

5. *Mathematica* supports various `Function` styles and other syntactic sugar (`UseShorthandNotations`). The hash (#) represents the single argument passed to a unary function; #1, #2, ... represent the various arguments passed to a multivariable function. The ampersand (&) is the terminator for an anonymous function definition. The operators /@ and @@@ are stand-ins for `Map` and `MapApply`. List-element selection and manipulation (`ElementsOfLists`) can be carried out with the functions `Take`, `Drop`, `Part`, and `Span` but also with a double-square-bracket indexing-and-slicing notation (`[[; ;]]`).

---

```
(* c1 is a list of complex numbers; c2 and c3 are identity transformations of c1 *)
c1 = 1 + Range[5] I
c2 = Map[Norm[#] Exp[I Arg[#]] &, c1]
c3 = Norm[#] Exp[I Arg[#]] & /@ c1
N[c1]
N[c2]
c3 // N
Table[Simplify[c1[[i]] == c2[[i]]], {i, 0, 5}]
Simplify[MapThread[#1==#2&,{c1,c2}]]
Simplify[Thread[c1==c2]]
Simplify[Equal @@@ Table[{c1[[i]], c2[[i]]}, {i, 1, Length[c1]}]]
(* Plot spirals in the complex plane *)
F[a_, n_] := (1 + a Range[0, n]/(n/2)) Exp[(\[Pi]/(2 n/5)) I Range[0, n]];
ComplexListPlot[{F[2, 200], F[3, 200], F[4, 200]}, PlotLegends -> {"a=2", "a=3", "a=4"}]
(* There are several ways to index and slice lists *)
u = Fibonacci /@ Range[15]
u[[1]]
u[[-1]] (* This is a python-style syntax that selects the last element of the list *)
u[[9]]
Part[u, 9]
u[[3 ;; 7]]
Take[u, {3, 7}]
u[;; 5]
Take[u, 5]
u[[-5 ;;]]
Take[u, -5]
```

---

The famous Fibonacci sequence  $(f_n) = (f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots) = (1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, \dots)$  is defined recursively by  $f_0 = f_1 = 1$  and  $f_{n+1} = f_n + f_{n-1}$ . Consider a sequence transformation  $(f_n \rightarrow g_n = (f_{3n-2}f_{3n-1}f_{3n})^{1/3})$  that maps three consecutive Fibonacci values into their geometric average:

$$(g_n) = ((f_1 f_2 f_3)^{1/3}, (f_4 f_5 f_6)^{1/3}, (f_7 f_8 f_9)^{1/3}, \dots).$$

Using *Mathematica*, populate a list with the first 10 elements of  $(g_n)$ . As a challenge, see if you can accomplish this with a program consisting of only one line of code. The list elements should be exact symbolic results. Compare them to the following decimal approximation to confirm their correctness:

$$(g_n) \doteq (1.25992, 4.93242, 21.0159, 88.9963, 377.001, \dots).$$

Finally, use `Show` to superimpose a `ListLogPlot` of  $g_n$  and a `LogPlot` of the heuristic  $0.29e^{1.44n}$ .