Phys 750 Lecture 7

- Most physical laws are expressed as differential equations
- These come in three flavours:
  - initial-value problems
  - boundary-value problems
  - eigenvalue problems

- Most physical laws are expressed as differential equations
   first order
- These come in three flavours:
  - initial-value problems
  - boundary-value problems
  - eigenvalue problems

 $\begin{aligned} x'(t) &= F(x(t), t) \\ x(0) &= x_0 \end{aligned}$ 

second order x''(t) = F(x(t), x'(t), t)  $x(0) = x_0$   $x'(0) = v_0$ 

- Most physical laws are expressed as differential equations
- These come in three flavours:
  - initial-value problems
  - boundary-value problems
  - eigenvalue problems

 $\forall x \in \mathcal{R} :$ u''(x) = F(u(x), u'(x); x)

 $\forall x \in \partial \mathcal{R} :$ 

 $u(x) = \alpha(x)$  or  $u'(x) = \beta(x)$ 

- Most physical laws are expressed as differential equations
- These come in three flavours:
  - initial-value problems
  - boundary-value problems
  - eigenvalue problems

 $\begin{array}{l} \forall x \in \mathcal{R} : \\ u''(x) = F(u(x), u'(x); x; \lambda) \\ \end{array}$   $\forall x \in \partial \mathcal{R} : \quad \text{solutions only} \\ u(x) = \alpha(x) \text{ or } \text{ at specific} \\ u'(x) = \beta(x) \quad \text{eigenvalues} \end{array}$ 

In principle, the initial value problem ODE can be forward integrated from its specified starting point

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = F(x(t), t) \longrightarrow x(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t dt' F(x(t'), t')$$
$$x(0) = x_0$$

 Need to generate a numerical estimate of the integral on the rhs of the formal solution

• As usual, we chop the real time variable into discrete time steps  $\Delta t = t_{i+1} - t_i$ 

$$x(t + \Delta t) = x(t) + \int_{t}^{t + \Delta t} dt' F(x(t'), t')$$
$$x(t_{i+1}) = x(t_i) + \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} dt' F(x(t'), t')$$

• If  $\Delta t$  is sufficiently small, then the integral is well-approximated by a low-order estimate of the area

Various first-order approximations:

$$x_{i+1} = x_i + F_i \Delta t$$

$$x_{i+1} = x_i + \frac{1}{2} \left( F_i + F_{i+1} \right) \Delta t$$

where in the future

$$x_i = x(t_i), F_i = F(x(t_i), t_i)$$

 The choices of box and trapezoid integration correspond to the socalled Euler and Picard methods



- Algorithm for the Euler method is very simple
- Accuracy of the method is low, and large errors accumulate over time
- Not necessarily energyconserving

- Set  $x_0$  to its initial value
- Step through each  $t_i$   $(i \ge 0)$ :
  - compute  $F_i = F(x_i, t_i)$
  - $-x_{i+1} = x_i + F_i \Delta t$
  - $-t_{i+1} = t_i + \Delta t$

- Picard method requires a selfconsistent solution
- Accurate but slow
- May not converge for too large a choice of time step

- Set  $x_0$  to its initial value
- Step through each  $t_i$   $(i \ge 0)$ :
  - compute  $F_i = F(x_i, t_i)$
  - $-t_{i+1} = t_i + \Delta t$
  - compute  $x_{i+1}^{(1)}$  via Euler
  - Loop over k = 1, 2, 3, ...
  - \* compute  $F_{i+1}^{(k)} = F(x_{i+1}^{(k)}, t_{i+1})$ \*  $x_{i+1}^{(k+1)} = x_i + \frac{1}{2} (F_i + F_{i+1}^{(k)}) \Delta t$ \* Exit loop if  $|x_{i+1}^{(k+1)} - x_{i+1}^{(k)}| < \epsilon$ -  $x_{i+1} = x_{i+1}^{(k_{\max})}$

• Systematic expansion: replace dummy variable by and Taylor expand the integrand  $t' = t + \delta t$ 

$$F(x(t+\delta t), t+\delta t) = F(x(t), t) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}x'(t)\delta t + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}\delta t + O(\delta t)^2$$

• Integration over  $0 < \delta t < \Delta t$  yields

not necessarily available to us

$$x_{i+1} = x_i + F_i \Delta t + \frac{1}{2} \left[ F_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} \Big|_i + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \Big|_i \right] (\Delta t)^2 + \cdots$$

Truncation at first order corresponds to the Euler method

 According to the mean value theorem, an exact truncation is of the form

$$x_{i+1} = x_i + F(x(\tau), \tau) \Delta t, \ \tau \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$$

- ► F is evaluated at some intermediate point
- $\bullet$  Ideal value of  $\,\tau\,$  absorbs all curvature corrections
- Possibility of systematic improvements

E.g., second-order Runge-Kutta

$$x_{i+1} = x_i + F(x^*, t^*)\Delta t$$
$$x^* = x_i + \frac{1}{2}F(x_i, t_i)\Delta t$$
$$t^* = t_i + \frac{1}{2}\Delta t$$

• local errors at  $O(\Delta t)^2$ 





## Runge-Kutta Schemes

• Exact evolution over a small time step:

 $x(t + \Delta t) = x(t) + \int_0^{\Delta t} d(\delta t) F(x(t + \delta t), t + \delta t)$ 

Expand both sides in a small time increment:

$$\begin{aligned} x(t + \Delta t) &= x(t) + x'(t)\Delta t + \frac{1}{2}x''(t)(\Delta t)^2 + \frac{1}{6}x'''(t) + \cdots \\ &= x(t) + F\Delta t + \frac{1}{2}(F_t) + FF_x(\Delta t)^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{6}(F_{tt} + 2FF_{tx}) + FF_x^2 + FF_x^2 + F_tF_x)(\Delta t)^3 + \cdots \\ &\text{partial derivatives} \end{aligned}$$

## Runge-Kutta Schemes

 $\blacktriangleright$  Runge-Kutta ansatz at order m:

 $x(t + \Delta t) = x(t) + \alpha_1 c_1 + \alpha_2 c_2 + \dots + \alpha_m c_m$ 

Function evaluation at many points in the interval

$$c_{1} = (\Delta t)F(x,t)$$

$$c_{2} = (\Delta t)F(x + \nu_{21}c_{1}, t + \nu_{21}\Delta t)$$

$$c_{3} = (\Delta t)F(x + \nu_{31}c_{1} + \nu_{32}c_{2}, t + (\nu_{31} + \nu_{32})\Delta t)$$

$$c_{4} = (\Delta t)F(x + \nu_{41}c_{1} + \nu_{42}c_{2} + \nu_{43}c_{3}, t + (\nu_{41} + \nu_{42} + \nu_{43})\Delta t)$$

$$\vdots$$

• *m* equations and m + m(m-1)/2 unknowns  $\{\alpha_i, \nu_{ij}\}$ 

We have discussed the Euler, Picard, and Runge-Kutta schemes for integrating the first-order initial value problem:
 x'(t) = F(x(t), t)

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= F(x(t)) \\ x(0) &= x_0 \end{aligned}$$

Similar considerations can be applied to the second-order problem:
 x''(t) = F(x(t), x'(t), t)

$$\begin{aligned} x(0) &= x_0 \\ x'(0) &= v_0 \end{aligned}$$

 Convenient to reinterpret the second-order system as two coupled first-order equations

$$x''(t) = F(x(t), x'(t), t) \qquad \qquad v'(t) = A(x(t), v(t), t)$$
  

$$x(0) = x_0 \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad x'(t) = v(t)$$
  

$$x'(0) = v_0 \qquad \qquad x(0) = x_0$$
  

$$v(0) = v_0$$

Obvious connection to classical mechanics: velocity v
 and acceleration model A

- Naive generalization of Euler method to the pair of first order equations
- Some ambiguity in the labelling of time steps

- Set  $x_0$  and  $v_0$  to their initial values
- Step through each  $t_i$   $(i \ge 0)$ :

- compute 
$$a_i = A(x_i, v_i, t_i)$$

$$-v_{i+1} = v_i + a_i \Delta t$$

$$-x_{i+1} = x_i + v_i \Delta t$$
$$-t_{i+1} = t_i + \Delta t$$

Could equally read  $v_{i+1}$  and still be correct to  $O(\Delta t)$  (Euler-Cromer)

 Can achieve higher order algorithms systematically at the cost of having more time steps involved in each update

$$x_{i+1} = x_i + v_i \Delta t + \frac{1}{2} a_i (\Delta t)^2 + O(\Delta t)^3$$
$$x_{i-1} = x_i - v_i \Delta t + \frac{1}{2} a_i (\Delta t)^2 + O(\Delta t)^3$$

Adding and subtracting the forward and reverse forms

$$x_{i+1} = 2x_i - x_{i-1} + a_i (\Delta t)^2$$

$$v_i = \frac{x_{i+1} - x_{i-1}}{\Delta t}$$
(Verlet method)

- Verlet method is more numerically stable than Euler
- It is not self-starting: it needs both  $(x_0, v_0)$  and  $(x_{-1}, v_{-1})$

(self-starting Verlet)

- Accuracy can be arbitrarily improved in this way at the cost of more starting points: (x<sub>-2</sub>, v<sub>-2</sub>), etc.
- Fortunately, there is an update rule mathematically equivalent to Verlet that is self-starting:

depends on  

$$x_{i+1} = x_i + v_i \Delta t + \frac{1}{2} a_i (\Delta t)^2$$
  
 $x_{i+1}$  only  
 $v_{i+1} = v_i + \frac{1}{2} (a_{i+1} + a_i) \Delta t$ 

- Runge-Kutta has the advantage of begin self-starting
- 4th order Runge-Kutta for Newton's equations of motion:

$$k_{1v} = A(x_i, v_i, t_i)\Delta t$$

$$k_{1x} = v_i\Delta t$$

$$k_{2v} = A(x_i + \frac{1}{2}k_{1x}, v_i + \frac{1}{2}k_{1v}, t_i + \frac{1}{2}\Delta t)$$

$$k_{3v} = A(x_i + \frac{1}{2}k_{2x}, v_i + \frac{1}{2}k_{2v}, t_i + \frac{1}{2}\Delta t)$$

$$k_{3x} = (v_i + \frac{1}{2}k_{2v})\Delta t$$

$$k_{4v} = A(x_i + k_{3x}, v_i + k_{3v}, t_i + \Delta t)$$

$$k_{4x} = (v_i + k_{3x})\Delta t$$

$$v_{i+1} = v_i + \frac{1}{6}(k_{1v} + 2k_{2v} + 2k_{3v} + k_{4v})$$

$$x_{i+1} = x_i + \frac{1}{6}(k_{1x} + 2k_{2x} + 2k_{3x} + k_{4x})$$