Cellular automata

Phys 750 Lecture 3

Cellular automata

- cellular automata system is a grid of cells evolving synchronously according to a discrete global clock
- discrete and (usually) finite set of states in each cell
- computation is exact and deterministic (and in some cases time-reversible)

• evolution rules are local; e.g., $x_i^{(t+1)} := F(x_{\{i,i\pm 1\}}^{(t)})$ cell position

label

label

Causal structure

states in the past that were influential

 $x_i^{(t)}$

states in the future that can be influenced



Synchronous updates

- Take care to avoid polluting the update step
- This single-array implementation conflates the two adjacent time steps and is incorrect:

Synchronous updates

```
#include <algorithm>
                             t+1
using std::swap;
bool buffer1[100] = {false,/true, ...};
bool buffer2[100];
bool(*current) = buffer1, (*next) = buffer2;
bool F(bool, bool, bool);
void update(void)
{
   for (int i = 0; i < 100; ++i)
      next[i] = F(current[(i+99) % 100],
                   current[i],
                   current[(i+1)%100] );
   swap(current,next);
```

Synchronous updates

```
#include <algorithm>
using std::swap;
#include <vector>
using std::vector;
```

```
// pointer-free implementation
vector<bool> current(100);
vector<bool> next(100); // two STL containers
```

• Discrete model with E + 1 possible states in each cell:

Quiescent $x_i = 0$ Excited $x_i = E$ Refractory $x_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, E-1\}$

- Quiescent cells are excited by their excited neighbours
- Excited cells relax over the course of E-1 time steps

Precise statement of the update rules:

• For the case $x_i^{(t)} = 0$

- if at least one of the neighbours $x_{j\neq i}^{(t)}$ is excited, assign $x_i^{(t+1)} := E$
- otherwise, set $x_i^{(t+1)} := 0$
- Otherwise, set $x_i^{(t+1)} := x^{(t)} 1$



- Localized disturbances propagate away from initial perturbation with definite "momentum" $(E \ge 2)$
- Completely inelastic collisions: "particles" annihilate





- Partial analogy with wave propagation—corresponding to a pebble dropped in a pond
- Analogy fails in a few important ways:
 - unimpeded wavefront never decays at long distances
 - complete annihilation of colliding excitations
 - cannot recover circular symmetry by coarse-graining

- Failures are a consequence of bad choices at the microscopic level:
 - update rules should enforce local energy conservation if we want global energy conservation
 - pay attention to the discretization of space and the connectivity of the lattice

Margolus scheme

- Space-filling partition of groups of cells, alternating with time
- Each group updated independently
- Easy to enforce conservation laws, especially number conservation
- 1d lattice gas: $\square \longrightarrow \square$



Square lattice gas

- 4-site Margolus tiling, shifted by (1,1) for odd times
- Updates conserve particle number, energy, and momentum (along the links of the dual lattice)









Square lattice gas

- Velocities allowed along only two orthogonal directions
- Leads to many undesirable hidden invariants



momentum conserved independently along every line of the dual lattice

Coarse-grained behaviour

- Long-distance, long-time behaviour is related to Navier-Stokes hydrodynamics but with an artificial anisotropy
- Spurious invariants survive coarse graining
- Cured by three-body interactions on the triangular lattice







CA generalizations

- Arbitrary grids and lattices
- Causal connections beyond nearest neighbour
- Asynchronous updates
- Random/probabilistic updates
- Boundary conditions