

# Second-Order Self-Force Calculations

Jonathan Thompson

Department of Physics  
University of Florida

April 20, 2013



# Introduction

- First-Order Self-Force Review
- The Good Stuff: Second-Order Enhancements
- Second-Order Perturbations
- Difficulties and Challenges

## First-Order Self-Force in Review: Results

Assume a particle mass  $\mu$  is in quasi-stationary circular orbit around a Schwarzschild black hole with mass  $M$ .

- Numerical values for:

$$h^{1\text{ret}}, h^{1\text{S}}, h^{1\text{R}}.$$

- Self-Force is  $\mathcal{O}(\mu)$  and generated by the Regular field:

$$u^b \nabla_b u^a = -(g_0^{ab} + u^a u^b) u^c u^d (\nabla_c h_{db}^{1\text{R}} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_b h_{cd}^{1\text{R}}).$$

- Physical spacetime local to the particle:

$$g_{ab} = g_{ab}^0 + h^{1\text{R}}.$$

- Worldline of the particle is perturbed away from the background geodesic,  $\gamma_0(\tau)$ , by an  $\mathcal{O}(\mu)$  correction,

$$\gamma_0 \rightarrow \gamma_0 + \gamma_{1\text{R}},$$

which is determined by the first-order geodesic equation.

## Second-Order Enhancements: Energy

- To zeroth-order, the total energy of this binary system is simply  $M$ .
- From the perturbed geodesic equation,

$$\frac{du_a}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{2} u^b u^c \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} (g_{bc}^0 + h_{bc}^{1R}),$$

one finds,

$$\mu E = \mu \frac{(r - 2M)}{\sqrt{r(r - 3M)}} [1 + \mathcal{O}(h^{1R})] + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2).$$

- For  $\ell = 0$  we find the  $\mathcal{O}(\mu)$  correction to the energy is  $\mu E$ , which has no contribution from the self-force term  $h_{ab}^{1R}$ .
- The self-force effects in the energy of the system first appear at  $\mathcal{O}(\mu^2)$ !

## Second-Order Enhancements: Phase Evolution

- Define a gauge-invariant measure for the energy,

$$M_\infty = M + \mu E + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2),$$

which is chosen to be the Bondi mass.

- Given the orbital frequency,  $\Omega(E)$ , and the rate of energy-loss,  $dE/dt$ , the phase of the orbit may be expressed as,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(t) &= \int_0^t dt \Omega(E(t)) \\ &= \int_0^t dt \left( \Omega_o + t \left[ \frac{d\Omega}{dE} \frac{dE}{dt} \right] + \dots \right),\end{aligned}$$

where  $\Omega_o$  is the frequency of the orbit at time  $t = 0$ .

- Regge & Wheeler (1957) give  $E \approx E_{1st} = -u_t$ , and  $\frac{dE}{dt}$  has been known to first-order since the 1970's.

## Second-Order Enhancements: Phase Evolution

- Let the second-order corrections be given by  $\Delta_{2\text{nd}}$ , then after integration,

$$\phi(t) = t\Omega_o + \frac{1}{2}t^2 \left[ \frac{d\Omega}{dE} \frac{dE}{dt} \right]_{1\text{st}} [1 + \Delta_{2\text{nd}} + \dots].$$

- To estimate the error in one full cycle of the orbit, we find the **de-phasing** timescale:

$$\text{Error} \sim \frac{1}{2}t^2 \left[ \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{\mu}{M^3} \right) \right]_{1\text{st}} \left( 1 + \left[ \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{\mu}{M} \right) \right]_{2\text{nd}} + \dots \right),$$

and by ignoring the higher-order terms above second-order, the  $\mathcal{O}(\mu^2/M^2)$  contributions result in a de-phasing timescale,

$$t_{1\text{st}} \sim M \sqrt{\frac{M}{\mu}} \quad t_{2\text{nd}} \sim \left( \frac{M}{\mu} \right) t_{1\text{st}}.$$

## A Second-Order Primer

- We now express the physical spacetime to second-order,

$$g_{ab} = g_{ab}^0 + h_{ab}^{1\text{ret}} + h_{ab}^{2\text{ret}},$$

where  $h^{n\text{ret}} = \mathcal{O}(\mu^n)$  and  $G_{ab}(g^0) = 0$ .

- The full second-order problem may be expressed as,

$$G_{ab}(g^0 + h^{1\text{ret}} + h^{2\text{ret}}) = 8\pi T_{ab}(\gamma_0 + \gamma_{1R}) + \mathcal{O}(\mu^3).$$

- By expanding about  $g^0$  and defining:

$$G_{ab}^{(n)}(g, h) \equiv \frac{1}{n!} \left[ \frac{d^n}{d\lambda} G_{ab}(g + \lambda h) \right]_{\lambda=0},$$

we recover the second-order perturbation equation:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{ab}^{(1)}(g^0, h^{2\text{ret}}) &= 8\pi T_{ab}(\gamma_0 + \gamma_{1R}) - 8\pi T_{ab}(\gamma_0) \\ &\quad - G_{ab}^{(2)}(g^0, h^{1\text{ret}}) + \mathcal{O}(\mu^3). \end{aligned}$$

## A Second-Order Primer

- In general, the stress-energy of a point particle is given by,

$$T_{ab}(\gamma_0) = \mu \frac{u_a u_b}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{d\tau}{dT} \delta^3[X^i - \gamma_0^i(T)].$$

- Taking a closer look at the stress-energy in the second-order equation,

$$T_{ab}(\gamma_0 + \gamma_{1R}) - T_{ab}(\gamma_0) = \mu \Delta \left( \frac{u_a u_b}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{d\tau}{dT} \right) \delta^3[X^i - \gamma_0^i(T)] - \mu \frac{\bar{u}_a \bar{u}_b}{\sqrt{-g^0}} \frac{d\tau}{dT} \gamma_{1R}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial X^j} \delta^3[X^i - \gamma_0^i(T)].$$

The  $\Delta$  operator represents an  $\mathcal{O}(\mu)$  change to the quantities within the parentheses.

- This term is  $\mathcal{O}(\mu^2)$  in the perturbation, which is to be expected.
- **Question:** Are the integrability conditions for the second-order perturbation equation satisfied?



# Integrable?

- Answer: Yes and No (and Yes)!
- Moving away from  $\gamma_0$ , the Einstein equations reduce to the form:

$$G_{ab}^{(1)}(g^0, h^{2\text{ret}}) = -G_{ab}^{(2)}(g^0, h^{1\text{ret}}) + \mathcal{O}(\mu^3),$$

and the integrability condition  $\nabla^a G_{ab}^{(2)}(g^0, h) = 0$  has been shown by Habisohn (1986) to be satisfied for a vacuum solution.

- On or near  $\gamma_0$ , the integration becomes tricky, due to the singular nature of  $h^{1\text{ret}}$  and  $h^{2\text{ret}}$  at the location of the particle.
- The divergence of these singular terms is finite and discontinuous on  $\gamma_0$  due to the ignorance in our knowledge of the singular field.
- This ignorance, however, can be shown to only affect the  $\mathcal{O}(\mu^3)$  calculations, and thus the problem is well-posed to second-order.

## In Conclusion

- Second-order effects are well worth the effort!
- A correct description of the second-order stress-energy requires information obtained from the first-order self-force problem.
- The second-order equations are soluble to  $\mathcal{O}(\mu^2)$  everywhere.

Thank You

## Appendix: Equations

$$2G_{ab}^{(1)}(g, h) = -\nabla^c \nabla_c h_{ab} - \nabla_a \nabla_b h + 2\nabla_{(a} \nabla^c h_{b)c} - 2R_{ab}{}^{cd} h_{cd} + g_{ab}(\nabla^c \nabla_c h - \nabla^c \nabla^d h_{cd})$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2G_{ab}^{(2)}(g, h) = & \frac{1}{2} \nabla_a h^{cd} \nabla_b h_{cd} + h^{cd} \nabla_b \nabla_a h_{cd} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_a h_b^c \nabla_c h \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_b h_a^c \nabla_c h - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_c h \nabla^c h_{ab} - \nabla_a h_b^c \nabla_d h_c^d \\ & - \nabla_b h_a^c \nabla_d h_c^d + \nabla^c h_{ab} \nabla_d h_c^d - h^{cd} \nabla_d \nabla_a h_{bc} \\ & - h^{cd} \nabla_d \nabla_b h_{ac} + h^{cd} \nabla_d \nabla_c h_{ab} - h_{ab} \nabla_d \nabla_c h^{cd} \\ & + h_{ab} \nabla_d \nabla^d h - \nabla_c h_{bd} \nabla^d h_a^c + \nabla_d h_{bc} \nabla^d h_a^c \\ & + g_{ab}(h^{cd} \nabla_d \nabla_e h_c^e - h^{cd} \nabla_d \nabla_c h + \frac{1}{4} \nabla_d h \nabla^d h \\ & + \nabla_c h^{cd} \nabla_e h_d^e - \nabla^d h \nabla_e h_d^e + h^{cd} \nabla_e \nabla_d h_c^e \\ & - h^{cd} \nabla_e \nabla^e h_{cd} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_d h_{ce} \nabla^e h^{cd} - \frac{3}{4} \nabla_e h_{cd} \nabla^e h^{cd}) \end{aligned}$$